

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,069	07/29/2003	Shunpei Yamazaki	0553-0166.01	6156	
75	590 12/02/2004		EXAMINER		
Edward D. Manzo			ABRAHAM, FETSUM		
Cook, Alex, McFarron, Manzo, Cummings & Mehler, Ltd. 200 West Adams St., Ste. 2850  2826			PAPER NUMBER		
			2826		
Chicago, IL 6	0606		DATE MAILED: 12/02/200	DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/629,069	YAMAZAKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Fetsum Abraham	2826	18		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) 1-35 are subject to restriction and/or expending the application.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		•		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= · ·	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attaghed detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National :	Stage		
Attachment(s)	or the certified copies not receive	:a.			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		-152)		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-20, drawn to a wiring structure, classified in class 257, subclass
   691.
- II. Claims 21-28, drawn to a MOSFET, classified in class 257, subclass 213.
- III. Claims 29-35, drawn to a method of making a device, classified in class 438, subclass 190.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a conductor in any type of semiconductor device such as a bipolar transistor, a diode etc. that does not require the presence of a MOSFET for proper functionality and the MOSFET in group 2 can have a wiring structure and material different from the group I wiring structure and material See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I,II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the gate wiring could have been formed from a

Art Unit: 2826

different materials such as aluminum and a silicon dioxide or other known insulation materials could have replaced the nitride in the claimed method of making a structure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fetsum Abraham whose telephone number is: 571-272-1911. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 18:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915.

M Abraham